Viral Encephalitis: A Hard Nut to Crack
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Abstract

Viral encephalitis is inflammation of brain that manifests as neurological complication of viral infections. There are quite a good number of viruses, for example, human herpes virus, Japanese encephalitis, and enteroviruses that can result in such a dreadful condition. Geographical location, age, gender, immune status, and climatic conditions also contribute to the establishment of this disease in an individual. Clinical signs and symptoms include fever, headache, altered level of consciousness, changed mental status, body ache, seizures, nausea, and vomiting. Effective management of this disease relies on timely diagnosis that in turn depends on apt and suitable investigation techniques. Traditional investigations have thinned out these days owing to the fact that advanced molecular technologies have been introduced to the diagnostic field.
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Introduction

Central nervous system (CNS) is apex authority system of human body and hence it is secured within an exceedingly sophisticated barrier system. This highly complex barrier system sometimes fails to protect CNS and a wide variety of pathologic elements especially viruses manage to reach out CNS.¹ CNS infection is a broad term that might include one or combination of following anatomical sites: meninges (meningitis), brain (encephalitis), and spinal cord (myelitis), or simultaneously in multiple regions (meningoencephalitis, encephalomyelitis).²

What Is Encephalitis?
The word “Encephalitis” is an amalgamation of two words, one being a Greek word “enkephalon” that means brain and the other one is a Latin word “itis” that means pertaining to inflammation. Thus, encephalitis stands for inflammation of the brain.³ To be more precise, it refers to inflammation of brain parenchyma and is usually associated with a spectrum of signs and symptoms including fever, headache, clouding of consciousness, seizures, personality change, focal neurological deficits, and coma.⁴⁻⁹ Sometimes it can also be associated with brain dysfunction and noteworthy morbidity and mortality.⁴⁻¹⁰ Statistic data suggest that ~5 to 10 per 100 000 residents per year suffer from encephalitis in urban countries; and is one of the alarming threats to the society.¹¹⁻¹³

A syndrome that is present worldwide and is often associated with encephalitis is “Acute encephalitis syndrome” (AES).¹⁴ It is mainly caused by viral infection and presents with acute-onset of certain symptoms such as fever, altered mental status and/or seizures, disorientation, delirium, or coma in a patient irrespective of his age. AES is a huge burden to public health, as it frequently leads to considerable morbidity and mortality.⁵⁻¹⁰,¹⁴⁻¹⁷

There are over 100 factors that can cause encephalitis.¹⁸ Inflammation of parenchymal tissue may result due to direct infection, or due to a postinfectious process, or due to a noninfectious condition such as anti-N-methyl-d-aspartate receptor (NMDA) encephalitis associated with antibodies against subunits of the NMDA receptor.⁵⁻¹⁰,¹⁹,²⁰ For convenience of description, various causes can be grouped under two broad categories: (a) infectious etiological factors that include various microbes and (b) noninfectious etiological factors that
include chemicals and antibodies. Table 1 enumerates various causative agents of encephalitis.\textsuperscript{5,7,14,21-23} Since among all, viral infection of CNS is a major cause of encephalitis\textsuperscript{1,24-26} this review will revolve mainly around viral encephalitis (VE). This review will shed some light on detailed profile of VE including its etiological agents, epidemiology, pathogenesis, clinical signs and symptoms, current diagnostic aids, management, and its preventive measures.

Viral Encephalitis

Though VE is an unusual complication of viral infection, viral infection is one of the prime causes of encephalitis.\textsuperscript{27-30} Literature reports around 100 viruses that are believed to cause encephalitis.\textsuperscript{31} Viral infection can strike any part of CNS, but it often causes meningitis and encephalitis. The ambit of vital findings includes fever, headache, altered mental status, sometimes accompanied by seizures and focal neurologic abnormalities.\textsuperscript{32}

Etiology and Epidemiology

A wide variety of viruses that are presented in Table 2 have been implicated to cause encephalitis.\textsuperscript{3,21,26,33-40} Fortunately with increased vaccination rates and discovery of new vaccines, the rates of encephalitis due to previously common pathogens including measles (Morbillivirus), mumps (Rubulavirus), and poliovirus (Picornavirus) have declined over the past 60 years.\textsuperscript{25,41} On the basis of etiology and pathogenesis, in general VE can be divided into four classes based on causes and pathogenesis\textsuperscript{42}:

- Acute VE
- Postinfectious encephalomyelitis
- Slow viral infections of CNS
- Chronic degenerative diseases of CNS

Ascertainment of accurate epidemiology of VE is next to impossible because of variation in climatic and geographic conditions across the world. The annual cases of VE have been reported within the range of 7/100000 to 1/500000.\textsuperscript{26,39,43,44} Globally, majority of studies have concluded that herpes simplex virus (HSV) is the most prevalent cause of VE.\textsuperscript{4,12,25,43,45-56} The pathogenic agents of VE vary from country to country. In Western countries such as France, England, and the United States, human simplex virus-1(HSV-1) has come up as the most prominent cause of sporadic VE.\textsuperscript{39} West Nile virus (WNV) is the most common cause of epidemic encephalitis in the United States.\textsuperscript{4,12,21,36,45,57-60} The available data also suggests that in Asian countries the primary pathogen of VE is Japanese encephalitis virus (JEV).\textsuperscript{51,61} In a year, ~10,000 patients suffer from encephalitis caused due to JEV.\textsuperscript{5,62}

Table 1 Various causative agents of encephalitis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Infectious etiological factors</th>
<th>Noninfectious etiological factors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bacterial</td>
<td>Chemical/toxins Immune-mediated disorders</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| • Mycobacterium tuberculosis  | • Anti-N-methyl-
| • Mycoplasma pneumoniae       | d-aspartate receptor |
| • Listeria monocytogenes      | • Encephalitis |
| • Borrelia burgdorferi        | • Antibodies against voltage- |
| • Brucella species           |     gated potassium |
| • Leptospira species         |     channel complex |
| • Legionella species         |                                 |
| • Tropheryma whipplei (Whipple’s disease) |                                 |
| • Nocardia actinomycetes      |                                 |
| • Treponema pallidum         |                                 |
| • Salmonella typhi           |                                 |
| • Rickettsiae causing Rocky Mountain spotted fever |                                 |
| • Q fever                    | Ehrlichiosis |
| • Endemic and epidemic typhus |                                 |
| • Globally, majority of studies have concluded that herpes simplex virus (HSV) is the most prevalent cause of VE.\textsuperscript{4,12,25,43,45-56} The pathogenic agents of VE vary from country to country. In Western countries such as France, England, and the United States, human simplex virus-1(HSV-1) has come up as the most prominent cause of sporadic VE.\textsuperscript{39} West Nile virus (WNV) is the most common cause of epidemic encephalitis in the United States.\textsuperscript{4,12,21,36,45,57-60} The available data also suggests that in Asian countries the primary pathogen of VE is Japanese encephalitis virus (JEV).\textsuperscript{51,61} In a year, ~10,000 patients suffer from encephalitis caused due to JEV.\textsuperscript{5,62}

Table 2 Some important etiological agents of viral encephalitis

| • Human herpes simplex virus (HSV): HSV-1, HSV-2, Varicella-Zoster virus, cytomegalovirus, Epstein–Barr virus, human herpes virus 6 and 7 |
| • Adenoviruses: serotypes 1, 6, 7, 12, 32 |
| • Influenza A |
| • Parvovirus (B19) |
| • Astroviruses |
| • Enteroviruses: EV 9,70 and 71, echo- and coxsackieviruses, poliovirus |
| • Measles, mumps, and rubella viruses |
| • Rhadoviruses: Australian bat lyssaviruses, rabies |
| • Flaviviruses: Japanese B encephalitis, West Nile encephalitis virus, dengue virus, Powassan virus, and Zika viruses |
| • Tick-borne encephalitis viruses |
| • Bunyaviruses: Toscana virus, La Crosse strain of California virus |
| • Reoviruses: Colorado tick fever virus |
| • Retrovirus: Human immunodeficiency virus |
| • Alphaviruses: Chikungunya virus, Venezuelan equine, eastern equine, western equine. |
| • Henipavirus: Nipah virus, Hendra virus |
| • Bornavirus: Variegated squirrel bornavirus 1 virus |
To contradict above statement, a study done among Chinese children suffering from VE has reported that JEV was least involved in causing this disease. Following herpes group of viruses, Varicella zoster virus (VZV) is the second most common cause of VE. 

Apart from aforementioned viruses, human enteroviruses are also one of the prominent causes of VE in world. Enteroviruses have many serotypes among which, enterovirus 17 has gained more attention because of its vital role in causing VE. In India, JE has claimed more number of VE cases to its credit and is followed by herpes viruses, enterovirus, measles virus, mumps virus, dengue, Chandipura virus, and Rubella virus.

The spectrum of severity of this disease is influenced by various following factors:

1. **Age and gender variation**: The highest incidence of VE is seen among younger age group patients and elderly people. Studies have reported that number of male patients diagnosed with VE is more when compared with female patients. Verma et al. reported that JE had more inclination toward male who belonged to younger or older age groups.

2. **Role of immune status**: Patients who have compromised immune system are at greater risk of acquiring disseminated disease with the incidence upto 36%. Immunocompromised patients are exclusive victims of cytomegalovirus infection, though VZV infection has also been reported.

3. **Seasonal distribution of VE and meningitis**: Certain viruses have specific favorable set of atmospheric conditions for its growth and proliferation. Therefore, it blooms out in certain sessions and causes more damage. Human enteroviruses infection is believed to be more pervasive in summer and autumn, thus resulting frequent number of cases of VE during this session of the year.

**Pathogenesis**

The first step toward VE begins with breach in CNS protective barriers. There are essentially two routes mentioned below through which viruses can gain access to the CNS and cause infection.

1. **Through blood supply**:
   a. By directly damaging endothelial cells and creating passage through the junctions.
   b. Or through anatomic structures that are less secured and have low strength defense such as the choroid plexus and circumventricular organs.
   c. Or with the assistance of infected hematopoietic cells ("Trojan horses").

2. **By infecting peripheral sensory or motor nerves**.

   Following viral invasion in CNS, monocytes sneak into the infected CNS area and get transformed into required cell forms, for example, dendritic cell, macrophage, and microglial cells. Presence of Ly6C<sup>+</sup> monocyte in inflamed area of CNS is considered as pathognomonic finding of VE. These transformed cells aim at limitation and depopulation of viral components by assisting in antigen presentation and T cell stimulation. It also helps in producing numerous proinflammatory mediators and reactive oxygen species. Pathogenic component that has reached CNS damages the nerve cells that results in disease and thus emergence of clinical symptoms. Apoptosis of nerve cells is causative factor of HSV-induced encephalitis. - **Fig. 1** illustrates pathogenesis of VE in brief.

**Clinical Signs and Symptoms of Viral Encephalitis**

Emergence of clinical signs and symptoms mainly hinges upon type of viral infection, immune status, and age of the individual. As mentioned before, younger and elder people manifest more severe form of encephalitis compared with others. Cardinal signs and symptoms are fever, headache, altered level of consciousness, changed mental status, nausea, and vomiting. When cortex is involved, seizures are one of the prominent findings.

Findings associated with this disease can be grouped into following categories:

2. Behavioral changes: disorientation, hallucinations, psychosis, personality changes, and agitation.
3. Focal neurological abnormalities: ataxia, anoma, dysphasia, and hemiparesis.
4. Pyramidal signs: brisk tendon reflexes and extensor plantar responses.
5. Cranial nerve abnormalities: oculomotor and facial nerves are mainly involved.
7. Seizures (may or may not be associated with the disease).

**Diagnosis**

Correct diagnosis guides into successful management of any disease. It starts with the observation of patient right from the moment when he walks into the clinic or hospital. Obtaining complete and precise patient’s history is a key step toward unveiling hidden disease. In case of neuronal disorders, as patient is in a state of disturbed mental status, it is always better to approach patient’s relative to seek his history. Following things should be asked for:

- Geographic and seasonal factors: certain viral diseases are more prevalent in certain season and in certain geographical area. For example, JE is endemic in Asian countries and spreads mainly in summer season.
- Foreign travel or migration history. Any recent visit to area that is affected with VE should be considered into the account.
- Contact with animals (e.g., farm house) or insect bites.
- Immune status. Immunosuppressed individuals are more susceptible to certain specific encephalitis; for example, cytomegalovirus-induced encephalitis.
- Occupation. People who work in farm, especially paddy fields, are more prone to JEV.

After proper case history, general examination has to be performed that must be followed by relevant investigations.
**General Examination**
Most of the patients who are suffering from VE are bound to show up with mucosal or cutaneous lesions owing to viral infections. For example, herpes virus and varicella zoster infections often lead to skin rashes. Therefore, thorough examination of patient’s body is an important part of diagnosis.

**Investigations**
Once clinician suspects VE, various investigations are recommended to confirm the provisional diagnosis. Previously certain techniques such as viral cultures and immunological assays were commonly looked upon for carrying out investigations on suspected cases. But recently polymerase chain reaction (PCR) has dramatically restructured viral diagnostics by enhancing recognition sensitivity and specificity. Table 3 presents certain criteria proposed by International Encephalitis Consortium to define encephalitis case. **Blood and Serological Tests**
In VE, there is marked lymphocytosis that is evident on complete blood picture evaluation. Serological investigations such as enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) to detect antibodies and antigenic components can also help in taking diagnosis to further level. But available literature indicates that for ELISA, cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) sample should be preferred over serum samples as specific activity (antigen binding per mole) of immunoglobulin M in CSF is believed to be greater than that of the serum. Thus, for diagnosis of VE by ELISA, CSF offers both superior sensitivity and specificity over that of serum.

**Direct Detection of Virus in CSF**
Direct detection of virus by employing electron microscope has been mentioned in previous studies. Results obtained through electron microscopy were not much promising, hence resulted in its limited use in investigations.
Table 3  Criteria to define encephalitis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Major criterion (required)</th>
<th>Minor criteria (2 required for possible encephalitis; ≥3 required for probable or confirmed encephalitis)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Change in mental status of patient which lasts for more than 24 hours, for example, alteration in consciousness level or in personality</td>
<td>• Documented fever ≥38°C (100.4°F) within the 72 hour before or after presentation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Generalized or partial seizures not fully attributable to a pre-existing seizure disorder</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• New onset of focal neurologic findings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• CSF WBC count ≥5/cubic mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Abnormality of brain parenchyma on neuroimaging suggestive of encephalitis that is either new from prior studies or appears acute in onset</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Abnormality on electroencephalography that is consistent with encephalitis and not attributable to another cause</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: CSF, cerebrospinal fluid; WBC, white blood cell.

Electroencephalography and Neuroimaging

Electroencephalography helps to mark epileptic seizures and it is helpful in differentiating encephalitis from generalized encephalopathy. In case of herpes simplex encephalitis (HSE), periodic lateralized epileptiform discharges is a specific finding.

Neuroimaging also helps in detecting neuronal diseases as brain imaging is one of the important investigations. Magnetic resonance is preferred in case of acute encephalitis. Certain specific neuroimaging findings may assist to provide clue toward the etiology; for example, HSE causes frontotemporal changes along with small hemorrhagic lesions in the limbic system; JE often presents with thalamic hemorrhage, and Eastern equine encephalitis results in disseminated lesions in the brainstem and basal ganglia. Blood flow evaluation with the help of technetium-labeled hexamethylpropyleneamine oxime and single photon emission computed tomography provides critical information and hint of HSE.

Cerebrospinal Fluid Analysis

CSF is checked for cell constituents including its morphologi, protein, and glucose levels. CSF profiles of these elements often indicate basic character and severity of CNS infection. Out of 100 viral encephalitis patients, 90 exhibit abnormal CSF findings that consist of marked lymphocytic pleocytosis (>5 lymphocytes/mm³), slight elevation in protein content (little above than 40 mg/dL), whereas glucose level remains unchanged. In enteroviral encephalitis and HSE, presence of neutrophils dominates the picture. Unlike bacterial encephalitis, opening pressure of CSF remains normal in VE. Sometimes HSE exhibits increased level of erythrocytes in CSF (>500/mm³) suggestive of intracerebral hemorrhage. Sometimes abnormal lymphocytes have been encountered in CSF of Epstein–Barr virus (EBV) or cytomegalovirus-induced encephalitis. In later stages of HSE, glucose level of CSF usually decreases. A few recent researches have revealed that there is alteration in inflammatory cytokine levels in CSF. Interferon-γ and interleukin-6 (IL-6) levels are higher in initial stage of the disease but as the diseases progresses, tumor necrosis factor-α, IL-2, and soluble CD8 levels get elevated.

Aforementioned data concludes that these CSF findings are not completely reliable for definite diagnosis, and thus various serological assays and genome analyses come into the picture.

Cerebrospinal Fluid Assays

It has been reported that in CNS infections intrathecal antiviral antibodies are been produced by choroid plexus. CSF assay proves its importance in diagnosing diseases where direct viral detection is not easy. This process involves demonstration of any of the following three antibodies, IgG, IgA, or IgM antibody, and it is considered as evidence of CNS infection even in the cases where blood–brain barrier is intact. Government of India has set certain criteria to diagnose acute encephalitis, out of which, if IgM antibodies have been detected against a virus or its component in CSF, it is considered as causative factor of the disease. The existence of a large number of constantly evolving viral serotypes can render antibody-based detection nearly impossible. Also, to present with detectable number of antibodies, CSF requires a period of minimum 1 week, which makes it less useful in early detection of disease.

Brain biopsy

It used to be a “gold standard” in diagnosis infectious encephalitis. It was advised frequently for detection of acute encephalitis in olden days with its sensitivity being 95% and specificity being above 99%. Histological findings reveal presence of inflammatory cells entrapping blood vessels, neuronal loss, and gliosis. In case of HSE, temporal lobe region displays necrotic area. At microscopic level, certain viral inclusion bodies pertaining to specific viral infections can be observed, for example, intracytoplasmic eosinophilic Negri bodies in rabies, intranuclear Cowdry type A inclusions in herpes, and intranuclear inclusions in subacute sclerosing panencephalitis caused due to measles.

One of the main concerns associated with this investigation is invasive surgical approach that can lead to permanent neuronal damage. After newer antiviral drugs came into the picture (e.g., Acyclovir), the trend of brain biopsy started to decline. In present scenario, it is contemplated when surgical decompression is a part of treatment for elevated intracranial pressure. Brain biopsy may sometimes be necessary to confirm the diagnosis in cases where symptoms are worsening and treatment is not working.
Molecular Techniques
Before introduction of nucleic acid amplification technique, virus isolation through cell culture was considered as “gold standard” for isolation of viral component.\textsuperscript{11} \textsuperscript{12} Table 4 presents a list of trending molecular assay methods being employed to detect viral components.

Ligase Chain Reaction
It amplifies the nucleic acid instead of nucleotides. Ligase chain reaction (LCR) uses two enzymes: a deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) polymerase (used for initial template amplification and then inactivated) and a thermostable DNA ligase. The concept of LCR relies on ligation of adjacent two synthetic oligonucleotide primers, which distinctively hybridize to one strand of the target DNA. This allows the differentiation of DNA sequences that are dissimilar even in a single base pair and thus this method is more specific than PCR.\textsuperscript{7}

Polymerase Chain Reaction
PCR helps in detection of specific nucleic acid present in CSF by amplifying the target nucleotides. It is truly helpful in cases of HSV, VZV, cytomegalovirus, and EBV-induced encephalitis. If it is performed by experts, it delivers 100% specificity and >90% sensitivity.\textsuperscript{10} CSF PCR withholds its sensitivity even after short courses of antiviral therapy.

Merits of PCR over other investigations are:

- High sensitivity.
- It can be accomplished in short duration of time (within 6–8 hours).
- It needs small quantity of sample (100–300 µL).\textsuperscript{7}
- It is exclusively specific for particular set of genomes.

There are certain limitations of conventional PCR; for example, the maximum number of viruses detectable in a single assay is relatively small. To distinguish various viral subtypes or genera, supplementary steps, for example, restriction enzyme analysis, sequencing, or hybridization blotting of the PCR product, are needed. Although PCR gives promising results, its availability in every diagnostic laboratory is not obvious. Thus, initial serological assays screening is recommended before sending the samples to higher laboratories.\textsuperscript{56}\textsuperscript{122}

Table 4 Nucleic acid amplification methods
\begin{tabular}{|l|}
\hline
Target-amplification techniques \\
\hline
- Polymerase chain reaction \\
- Ligase-chain reaction \\
- Isothermal transcription-based amplification methods: \\
  - Transcription-mediated amplification \\
  - Nucleic acid-based sequence amplification \\
- Strand displacement amplification \\
- Loop-mediated isothermal amplification \\
\hline
Signal-based amplification methods \\
\hline
- Branched deoxyribonucleic acid method \\
- Hybrid capture assay \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Reverse Transcription PCR
When ribonucleic acid (RNA) viruses have to be traced out, reverse transcription PCR comes into picture. It is similar to conventional PCR except for the first step where complimentary DNA (cDNA) is formulated out of RNA. It can be performed using two-step method or single-step method. In two-step procedure, reverse transcription of RNA occurs in the presence of reverse transcriptase enzyme that is followed by amplification of cDNA in the presence of different DNA polymerase enzyme. On the other hand, in single-step procedure, single thermostable enzyme that possesses both reverse transcriptase and DNA polymerase activity is used.\textsuperscript{7}

Real-Time PCR
In real-time PCR, a fluorescent signal is released during each round of PCR amplification. It has produced good results in detecting WNV, Saint Louis encephalitis virus, and dengue virus) nucleic acid from different types of samples.\textsuperscript{121} A comparative study to evaluate three diagnostic tests to detect JEV has been done. The study concluded that real-time PCR is more, sensitive, and specific method when compared with IgM antibody capture ELISA (MAC ELISA) and virus cultivation technique.\textsuperscript{79} Ledermann et al in 2011 had conducted a study on horses and showed that real-time PCR can detect viral nucleic acid in samples that had very low viral load. Thus, it can help in detecting viral components in early stages of infection when viral load is less.\textsuperscript{123} Real-time PCR has following merits over regular PCR technique\textsuperscript{126}:

- Risk of contamination is reduced.
- Quantification of target is easy.
- Sensitivity is high.
- Reproducibility is high.
- Multiplexing can be considered.

Multiplex PCR
Main objective of multiplex PCR is to detect more than one target simultaneously. It is helpful in diagnosing diseases that have multiple etiologies.\textsuperscript{127} Thus, it can assist in detection of etiology in case of VE. The challenge that is posed in this technique is the difficulty in designing compatible multiplex primer sets.

Other Nucleic Acid Amplification Methods
Transcription-Based Amplification Methods
There are two wildly known methods that follow this approach\textsuperscript{128}:

I. Nucleic acid sequence-based amplification (NASBA) and II. Transcription-mediated amplification.

Unlike conventional PCR, these procedures do not require wide range of temperature as these are isothermal reactions. When compared with conventional PCR, amount of amplified nucleic acid copies generated is more in these procedures. NASBA amplifies RNA thus it eliminates one step of cDNA synthesis that is needed in conventional PCR.\textsuperscript{7}
**Loop-Mediated Isothermal Amplification**

It is also an isothermal reaction. It utilizes an enzyme with strand displacement property along with four primers out of which two are inner and two are outer primers. These primers recognize six different sites in the target nucleic acid and thus make it more specific reaction/assay.6,7,12,9

**New Emerging Techniques**

To overcome the constraints of available techniques, newer procedures such as microarray and multianalyte flow cytometry are being proposed.

**Microarray**

It is a genomic approach to assist viral detection. DNA microarray is capable of detecting around 100 viruses simultaneously.96 A DNA microarray (also commonly known as DNA chip or biochip) is a collection of microscopic DNA spots attached to a solid surface. Generally nucleic acid (DNA, cDNA, or an oligonucleotide) microarrays are mottled onto a solid matrix at low density. The solid matrix is usually a glass slide.132 A DNA microarray has been employed in investigations of VE with sensitivity being 93% and specificity being 100%.122

**Multianalyte Flow Cytometry**

This technique can systematically differentiate between equally sized particles on the basis of their internal properties. Therefore, it can be employed in designing immunosassays, Western blot-like antibody assays, and nucleic acid hybridization assays.133 It is one of the new emerging approaches to detect multiple targets such as antibody, antigen, or nucleic acid.7 There is not much data available on role of this technique in diagnosis of VE and thus further research in this area is recommended.

**Specimens Other than CSF and Blood in the Investigation of Encephalitis**

Specimens such as blood CSF have been routinely used in carrying out investigations of VE. Literature suggests that enteroviral infections have a replicative stage that occurs in throat and gastrointestinal tract. Thus, during this phase viruses can be obtained from specimens collected from these locations. Availability of viral component can be observed up to 4 to 8 weeks from throat samples and up to 11 weeks from stool samples. This extended duration of availability of viruses provides more time to conduct investigations.7,134 In cases of viral infections that cause vesicle eruptions, the aspirate of vesicle can be used to carry out PCR.100

**Management**

Patients suffering from VE generally need intensive care.135 Over the period of time, with advent of newer diagnostic techniques, drugs and clinical setups, management of VE has took a major leap.100,136

Essentially, while dealing with VE, following three parameters are to be addressed.

1. The need of antiviral or immune modifier drugs to arrest the infection.
2. To keep a check on symptoms and sufferings of patients; for example, to manage seizures, phenytoin and low dosage of benzodiazepines can be used.100
3. To prevent any late deleterious outcome of the disease; for example, certain drugs can result in nephrotoxicity and raise serum liver enzymes.21

The detailed available drugs have been mentioned in the Table 5, which are frequently used in VE therapy.55,135-138

Acyclovir is advised to combat HSE and VZE. It hinders viral DNA synthesis and thus halts virus replication.21,65,139 This drug is more effective when administered in early stages of disease.31,42,140 Lately, one more therapeutic strategy that has shown favorable results has emerged out. It implicates induction of histocompatible, virus-specific T cells into immunocompromised person suffering from infections such as cytomegalovirus and EBV.141,142

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 5</th>
<th>Drugs used in treating viral encephalitis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Drugs</strong></td>
<td><strong>Dosage and recommendations</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acyclovir</td>
<td>For adult, intravenous: 10 mg/kg every 8 hour</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>For neonatal herpes simplex encephalitis is 60 mg/kg/d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valacyclovir</td>
<td>1000 mg every 8 hour</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ganciclovir</td>
<td>Intravenous: 5 mg/kg every 12 hour. Recommended against cytomegalovirus infection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valganciclovir</td>
<td>900 mg twice daily (induction)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>900 mg once daily (maintenance/prophylaxis)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foscarnet</td>
<td>Intravenous: 90 mg/kg every 12 hour or 60 mg/kg every 8 hour (induction). Recommended against cytomegalovirus infection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cidofovir</td>
<td>Intravenous: 5 mg/kg weekly for 2 weeks (induction), then once every 2 week (maintenance)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Immunoglobulin</td>
<td>Intravenous: 400–500 mg/kg daily or every other day</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interferon-α</td>
<td>Assists in restriction of viral replication and is recommended against arbovirus infections. For example, West Nile virus or St. Louis encephalitis virus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corticosteroids</td>
<td>To control raised intracranial pressure. It is also recommended when disease is accompanied by vasculitis. Prednisone equivalent dose of 1 mg/kg daily should be considered</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Dosage must be adjusted according to renal function.*
Prognosis

There has been a marked improvement in prognosis of this disease in the past decades. Acyclovir intravenous therapy has decreased the mortality risk from 70 to 20%,136,143,144 But still the satisfactory level of results has not been obtained. Approximately, up to 10% of the cases suffer from reactivation of the disease after completion of antiviral therapy.104 A large section of patients, who manages to recover from VE, often complains of symptoms suggestive of permanent neurologic damage.145–146 Prognosis of this infection also relies on age and immune status of the patient, etiology, and severity of the disease. Recovery from severe HSE often leaves the patient with symptoms such as seizures and anomia.7 Patients of younger and older age groups are at greater risk to sustain permanent neuronal damage.

Vaccines and Other Preventive Measures

Prevention is always better than cure; thus, infections against which vaccines are available should be prevented by providing proper immunization to the suspected population. There has been an observable fall in number of new cases of JE after the development of JE vaccine.140 Along with JE, vaccinations targeting polio, rabies, influenza, VZ, tick-borne encephalitis virus, mumps, measles, and rubella have also been introduced and have resulted in decline in incidences of related encephalitis cases.135,150 Preventive vaccines against certain viruses such as WNV, dengue virus, and Zika virus are under investigations.135 Along with immunization, measures to control vector population (e.g., mosquitoes) must be promoted.

Conclusion

Among all CNS infections, VE has always managed to keep itself in limelight. Recent past decades have certainly witnessed a marked improvement in diagnosis of VE but still a considerable number of patients go undiagnosed of exact etiology. VE is still one of the major threats to global health. Interestingly, molecular techniques have contributed in unveiling many hidden aspects of VE; hence, the upcoming techniques such as multianalyte flow cytometry and microarrays must be further researched to make them useful in diagnostic investigations. For a better management, it is recommended that upcoming investigations must have more inclination toward prevention of disease rather than treatment aspect.
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